



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012_AJEA_2868
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANTS OF COMMERCIALIZATION OF SMALLHOLDER TOMATO AND PINEAPPLE FARMS IN GHANA.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Minor corrections in use of grammar may be incorporated as suggested under general comments. 2. Under discussion part, findings of the study may be supported with available citations/ literature which may add value to the study.	The authors generally agree with the comments/corrections suggested by the reviewer, and have made the necessary adjustments in the final manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line 37 : Delete assesses being repeated in Line 36 & 37 Line 39 : analysis are – correct grammar Line 42 : Based on the study it was found that 96.3 Use correct tense for the lines 42-44 Line 47 : should work Line 107: Irrigated agriculture Line 179 : Population of universe from which 300 farmers were randomly selected should be mentioned. Line : 183-185 : Sentence may be properly written (Among did not) Line 213 : were found to belong to – may be	Many thanks to the reviewer for his careful reading and review of the paper.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	corrected Line 533: a low correlation value of 0.039 Lines 591-592 : asseses commercialization may be combined Line 599 : they were Line 608 : should work	
--	--	--